

Application No.: 10/081,555  
Filing Date: February 20, 2002  
Amendment in Response to Office Action (mailed 11/19/04) faxed 12/17/04  
Page 9 of 10

Attorney Docket No.: SALK2270-5  
(088802-5212)

Remarks

By the present communication, claims 2 and 27-29 have been amended, and claims 32-37 have been added to define Applicants' invention with greater particularity. No new matter is added by the subject amendments as all amended and new claim language is fully supported by the specification and original claims. In addition, by the present communication, non-elected claims 1, 6-26, 30 and 31 have been cancelled, without prejudice, subject to Applicants' right to file divisional application(s) directed thereto.

In addition, by the present communication, the specification has been amended to acknowledge United States Government Support for this invention.

The restriction of claims 1-31 under 35 U.S.C. § 121 as allegedly being drawn to 11 separate inventions, is respectfully traversed.

It is respectfully submitted that the claims have been restricted into an excessive number of groups. For example, the Group II claims (i.e., claim 3), Group III claims (i.e., claim 4) and Group IV claims (i.e., claim 5) could readily be searched in a single application. Each of these claims are classified in the same class/subclass, and each relate to methods of evaluating compounds for the ability to modulate the same receptor—SXR polypeptide.

Similarly, each of the Group V-Group IX claims are classified in the same class/subclass, and all relate to methods of modulating processes in which SXR polypeptide is a key component.

Accordingly, reconsideration of the requirement for restriction, and regrouping the claims into fewer groups, are respectfully requested.

In order to be fully responsive, Applicants hereby elect the Group III claims for prosecution on the merits. Based on the amendments submitted herewith, it is requested that at least claims 2, 27-29 and 32-37 also be prosecuted in conjunction with elected claim 4. In

DEC. 20. 2004 2:56PM

FOLEY 8587926773

NO. 1885 P. 13

Application No.: 10/081,555

Filing Date: February 20, 2002

Attorney Docket No.: SALK2270-5

(088802-5212)

Amendment in Response to Office Action (mailed 11/19/04) faxed 12/17/04

Page 10 of 10

addition, it is respectfully requested that the Group II and Group IV claims (i.e., claims 3 and 5) also be prosecuted in conjunction with elected claim 4.

It is noted that the Examiner also asserted a contingent requirement for election of species. However, no election is believed to be required at this time since the contingent requirement was premised on election of only certain groups of claims, which have not been elected herein. Clarification is respectfully requested if this understanding is in error.

Conclusion

In view of the above amendments and remarks, prompt and favorable action on all claims is respectfully requested. In the event any matters remain to be resolved in view of this communication, the Examiner is encouraged to call the undersigned so that a prompt disposition of this application can be achieved.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: December 20, 2004



Stephen E. Reiter  
Registration No. 31,192  
Telephone: (858) 847-6711  
Facsimile: (858) 792-6773

FOLEY & LARDNER LLP

Customer Number: 30542

**\*30542\***

30542

PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE

P.O. Box 80278

San Diego, CA 92138-0278

023.263554.2

PAGE 13/13 \* RCVD AT 12/20/2004 5:54:02 PM [Eastern Standard Time] \* SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-1/3 \* DNI:8729306 \* CSID: \* DURATION (mm:ss):03:48